

**REPUBLIC OF UZBEKISTAN
LAW ENFORCEMENT ACADEMY
POLICY ON THE USE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE
(AI) TECHNOLOGIES**

This Policy establishes the mandatory rules governing the use of artificial intelligence (hereinafter — AI) in the Academy's educational and research activities.

1. Purpose, Subject Matter and Scope of Application

1.1. Purpose

The purpose of the Policy is to ensure the quality of education, the protection of data, and the transparency and accountability of the use of AI, as well as to ensure that the Academy's activities comply with national legislation and international standards.

1.2. Subject Matter of Regulation

This Policy establishes the procedure for the use, at the Academy, of generative AI, large language models, intelligent analytical and automated verification tools, decision-support tools, and other artificial intelligence systems and technologies.

1.3. Scope of Application

The requirements of this Policy are mandatory for all staff and students, as well as for persons engaged, under contract, in educational, scientific or expert activities.

2. Legal Basis

This Policy has been developed on the basis of the legislation of the Republic of Uzbekistan, the relevant regulatory legal acts and international standards.

3. Terms and Definitions

Artificial intelligence (AI) — a set of technological solutions that emulate human intellectual functions such as learning, analysis, problem-solving and the performance of tasks.

Generative AI — an artificial intelligence system that creates new content, such as text, program code, images, audio and video, on the basis of a user's request.

Disclosure of AI use — the written indication, by a student or staff member, that AI was used in preparing a piece of work, together with its purpose and extent.

Human oversight — the taking of the final decision by a human being, the verification and evaluation of AI outputs by a human being, and the non-use of such outputs as the sole basis for a significant decision.

Protected data — personal, biometric, genetic and official data, data relating to investigative and operational-search activities, and other data protected by law.

Automated assistive tool — a software tool that automatically performs operations on the basis of predetermined rules, does not draw independent conclusions and does not make decisions.

Action log — a set of data recording the date, time, initiator, type, scope and results of operations performed automatically or with the assistance of AI.

4. Basic Principles of the Use of AI

4.1. Legality

The use of AI shall be carried out in accordance with the legislation and the Academy's internal documents.

4.2. Primacy and Oversight of Human Judgment

AI is regarded as an assistive tool, while the final decision is made by a human being.

4.3. Academic Integrity

The use of AI must not contradict the requirements of authorship, independence and academic integrity.

4.4. Transparency

The fact and extent of AI use shall be disclosed in the established manner, and its outputs shall be verified and evaluated by a human being.

4.5. Data Protection

The entry of sensitive data into external AI services shall not be permitted, or shall be restricted in the established manner.

4.6. Fairness and Non-Discrimination

Unfounded or discriminatory outputs shall not be permitted in the use of AI.

4.7. Accountability

Responsibility for the results of AI use rests with the person who used it.

4.8. Development of Competencies

The Academy shall develop the skills of students and staff for the purposeful and safe use of AI.

5. Use of AI by Students

Students shall use AI for educational purposes on the basis of the requirements established by the instructor. In doing so, they must observe academic integrity, independent work, verification of results and compliance with the established limitations.

5.1. Obligations of the Student

- to use AI within the scope of the established requirements;
- to verify the accuracy and reliability of information and materials obtained with the assistance of AI;
- not to enter personal, official or other sensitive data into external AI services;
- to bear personal responsibility for the content and reliability of the final work.

6. Use of AI by Faculty Members and Other Staff

6.1. Faculty members shall:

- use AI in preparing teaching materials, assignments, case studies and feedback materials, while verifying their quality, accuracy and relevance;
- establish, in advance, the conditions for the use of AI for each subject or assignment and communicate them to students;
- not base a final decision on assessment or academic integrity solely on AI conclusions;
- bear responsibility for the content, pedagogical quality and reliability of materials prepared with the assistance of AI.

6.2. Other staff shall:

use AI in performing daily official duties, while verifying the results;
 not make decisions affecting the rights and obligations of individuals solely on the basis of AI conclusions;
 comply with the data protection requirements established in this Policy;
 bear responsibility for the accuracy and reliability of documents, analyses and other materials prepared with the assistance of AI.

7. Academic Integrity and Authorship

Presenting material created with the assistance of AI as the result of independent intellectual activity constitutes a violation of academic integrity. AI shall not be recognized as the author or co-author of an educational or scientific work.

The following shall not be permitted:

failing to disclose the use of AI when such disclosure is required;
 falsifying sources, citations, data or results with the assistance of AI;
 introducing into practice, without verification, sources, legal norms, statistical data and other results presented by AI;
 using AI outputs in place of independent thinking, legal analysis and the author's own intellectual contribution.

The use of AI in scientific publications shall be disclosed in accordance with the requirements of the publisher and this Policy.

Responsibility for the content, accuracy, reliability and independence of the work rests with the author.

8. Disclosure of AI Use and Citation

8.1. Requirement to Disclose the Use of AI

If a student or staff member has used AI in preparing, processing, translating, abridging, summarizing or analyzing a document, they must disclose this fact.

The disclosure shall indicate the name of the AI tool, the purpose and extent of its use, as well as the parts prepared or processed with the assistance of AI.

The use of AI solely for checking spelling, punctuation and technical errors, and for simple stylistic editing, shall not require such disclosure, unless otherwise established.

Failure to disclose the use of AI when required constitutes a violation of the requirements of this Policy.

8.2. Form of the Disclosure of AI Use

Indicator	Content
AI Tool	Name and version of the AI tool, and the date of use
Purpose of Use	information retrieval, text preparation, editing, translation, or data analysis
Extent of Use	the part and extent of the document in which AI was used

Verification of Result	method used to verify the accuracy and reliability of the result
-------------------------------	--

8.3. Citation

When AI outputs are used in a text, the name of the AI tool, the content of the query and the date of use shall be indicated in the established manner. The direct copying of copyright-protected material obtained through AI shall not be permitted.

9. Protection of Personal Data, Confidentiality and Handling of Protected Information

It is prohibited to enter into external AI systems personal data, restricted official information, data relating to investigative and operational-search activities, as well as information constituting a state secret.

The processing of personal and other protected data with the assistance of AI shall be carried out only in accordance with the legislation and the requirements of this Policy. In doing so, protected information systems and software tools approved at the Academy shall be used.

In cases where the use of external AI services is permitted, only anonymized or generalized data that do not allow the identification of an individual may be entered.

If an information security threat is identified, the use of the relevant AI tool shall be restricted or suspended.

10. Risk Management and Oversight of the Use of AI Tools

10.1. Classification of AI Tools by Risk Level

At the Academy, AI tools are evaluated for risk level according to their purpose of application, the nature of the data processed, and their possible consequences.

In the use of AI tools related to the assessment and admission of students and to the processing of personal data, human oversight is mandatory, and an AI conclusion may not serve as the sole basis for a final decision.

In preparing educational, scientific and analytical materials, AI outputs shall be verified by a human being, and the use of AI shall be disclosed where required.

AI shall be used for information retrieval, text editing and other auxiliary tasks in compliance with the general requirements of this Policy.

Automated assistive tools that do not make independent decisions are not classified into a separate risk category and shall be applied on the basis of human oversight and information security requirements.

10.2. Approval of AI Tools

A unified registry of AI tools approved for use at the Academy shall be maintained by the Department of Information and Communication Technologies and Information Security.

The approval and inclusion of an AI tool in the registry shall be carried out on the proposal of the interested structural unit, on the basis of the conclusion of

the Department of Information and Communication Technologies and Information Security.

10.3. Criteria for Inclusion of an AI Tool in the Registry

An AI tool shall be included in the registry provided that the purpose and scope of its use are clearly defined, its risk level has been assessed, and it complies with information security and data protection requirements.

In doing so, account shall be taken of the possibility of human oversight and verification of AI outputs, the compliance of the conditions for data storage and processing with the legislation, and the acceptability of the level of potential risks.

10.4. Maintenance of the Registry

The registry shall indicate the name and developer of the AI tool, its purpose of use, risk level, authorized users, category of data, limitations, the date of approval and reassessment, and its current status.

10.5. Periodic Reassessment

An extraordinary reassessment shall be carried out when the legislation, the tool's capabilities or the conditions of use change, when an information security threat is identified, or when doubts arise as to the reliability of the results.

10.6. Restriction or Suspension of Use

If, in the use of an AI tool, a threat to information security, data protection, or the rights and legitimate interests of individuals is identified, its use shall be restricted or temporarily suspended.

Depending on the outcome of the review or reassessment, use may be resumed, continued subject to additional conditions, terminated, or the tool may be removed from the registry.

10.7. Removal from the Registry

An AI tool shall be removed from the registry if it fails to comply with the legislation or the requirements of this Policy, does not meet information security requirements, regularly produces unreliable results, its support is discontinued, or there is no longer a need for its use.

The inclusion of an AI tool in the registry, and the restriction, suspension or removal of its use, shall be decided by the relevant Deputy Head of the Academy on the basis of the conclusion of the Department of Information and Communication Technologies and Information Security.

10.8. Use of Automated Assistive Tools

Automated assistive tools shall be used on the basis of human oversight.

Such tools shall be tested prior to implementation, the operations performed shall be recorded, and the results shall be verified by the responsible staff member.

The procedure for their development, testing, approval and application shall be established by a separate internal document of the Academy.

11. Competencies and Training

The Academy shall develop AI-use competencies among staff and students.

Training programs shall cover the operating principles, capabilities and limitations of AI, ethical and legal requirements, data protection, and practical skills in the use of AI tools.

Regular professional development on the use of AI shall be organized for staff and students. Mastery of the basic requirements of this Policy is mandatory.

12. Violation of Policy Requirements, Liability and Review Procedure

12.1. The following shall constitute a violation of the requirements of this Policy:

- presenting material created with the assistance of AI as independent work;
- using AI in cases where its use is prohibited;
- failing to disclose the use of AI when required;
- entering personal, official or other protected data into external AI systems;
- falsifying sources, data or results with the assistance of AI.

A student who grossly or repeatedly violates the requirements for the use of AI may be temporarily restricted from using AI within a particular subject.

12.2. Liability Measures

Liability measures shall be applied according to the severity, nature and recurrence of the violation.

In doing so, a warning, redoing the work, lowering the grade, referral to the relevant commission, or other measures established by the legislation and the Academy's internal documents may be applied.

The unlawful processing of data or the disclosure of protected information shall give rise to liability as established by the legislation.

12.3. Review Guarantees

A violation of the rules on the use of AI shall be recorded by the Department of Information and Communication Technologies and Information Security.

The result of an AI detector shall not be regarded as independent or sufficient evidence and shall require additional verification.

Before a decision is taken, the person concerned shall be given the opportunity to provide an explanation. The decision taken may be appealed to the Academy's management.

13. Governance, Monitoring and Review of the Policy

The implementation of this Policy shall be coordinated by the Academy's structural unit responsible for artificial intelligence.

The responsible unit shall oversee compliance with the Policy, maintain the registry of approved AI tools, organize training activities and consider methodological matters.

The Academy's structural units shall be responsible, within their respective powers, for the fulfilment of the requirements of this Policy.

14. Final Provisions

This Policy shall be applied together with the Academy's strategic documents on the introduction of AI technologies. Where a conflict arises between the

provisions of the Policy and the legislation of the Republic of Uzbekistan or international law, the norms of the legislation shall apply.

A person who violates the requirements of this Policy shall be held liable in the manner established by the legislation.